The Law Offices of

(SPACE RELOW FOR PILING STAMP ONLY)

BARRY NOVACK 1 COMPONING COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California 2 8383 WILSHIRE BLVD. SUITE 830 County of Los Angeles 3 BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90211-2407 JUL 1 3 2016 Shall under the reserve muce Clark 4 (323) 852-1030 (323) 852-9855 FAX . Deputy 5 STATE BAR NO. 57911 Ishavio Chambers 6 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 4 . 10 11 GAYLE YASHAR and MORTY CASE NO. BC 6 2 6 3 0 7 YASHAR, 12 18 1 1 C Plaintiffs. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR 13 PERSONAL INJURIES v. 15 OUE SKYSPACE, LLC; LEGENDS 16 HOSPITALITY, LLC; DOE 1, DOE 2. DOE 3, DOE 4, DOE 5, DOE 6 and 17 DOES 7 through 50, 18 Defendants. 19 20 22 23 24 THE LAW OFFICES OF BARRY NOVACK 25 26

Dated: July 13, 2016 CAN 34 12 13

٠,

15000

BARRY NOVACK Attorneys for Plaintiffs

28

27

21

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR PERSONAL INJURIES

1	the property where this accident occurred were the following defendants: ALL DEFENDANTS.
2	7
3	At all times herein mentioned the persons acting as Managers and Maintainers of the property where
4	this accident occurred who had a responsibility to maintain the property in a safe condition were
5	doing so with the knowledge, permission and consent of the following defendants: ALL
6	DEFENDANTS.
7	8
8	At all times herein mentioned the persons acting as Managers, Maintainers and Lessors of the
9	property where this accident occurred were the agent, servant and employee of and acting within the
10	course and scope of said agency and employed by the following defendants: ALL DEFENDANTS.
11	9
12	Defendant OUE SKYSPACE, LLC is a limited liability company that has its principal place of
13	business and resides in Los Angeles County, California.
14	10
15	Defendant LEGENDS HOSPITALITY, LLC is a limited liability company having business within
16	California.
17	11
18	Defendants OUE Skyspace, LLC, Legends Hospitality LLC, and DOES 21 - 25 operate OUE
19	Skyspace LA ("SKYSPACE"), which consists of an observation deck and a 45 foot, fully-enclosed
20	outdoor glass slide that leads from the 70th to the 69th floor ("SKYSLIDE").
21	12
22	At all times herein mentioned the following defendants were involved in the design and construction
23	of the SKYSPACE: DOES 1-20.
24	13
25	At the time and place of the events hereinafter mentioned, the defendants, and each of them, were
26	engaged in a joint venture and common enterprise and acting within the scope of, and in pursuance
27	of, the joint venture and common enterprise.
28	

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR PERSONAL INJURIES

From the time SKYSPACE opened to the public on or about June 25, 2016 up until the date of this incident on July 3, 2016, defendants became aware that riders of the SKYSLIDE were suffering injuries including an ankle fracture. Defendants knew or should have known that these injuries were caused by the dangerous, unsafe and defective design and operation of the SKYSLIDE. Defendants increased the ordinary risk inherent associated with going down a slide by failing to provide a sufficiently long and continuous deceleration area at the end of the slide to allow the riders to slow down to a safe speed before encountering a change in surface elevation and change in surface coefficient of friction, and then added stacked mats at the end of the slide runout area. This created a gap that trapped the covered feet of riders. This increased the risk of serious injury for an ankle fracture which was far beyond the risk assumed by the uninformed and unsuspecting riders.

In addition, defendants failed to warn riders of the increased risk associated with riding the SKYSLIDE, including the risk of suffering an ankle fracture due to the deficient design and grossly negligent operation of the SKYSLIDE.

Despite knowing of serious injuries that occurred before plaintiff GAYLE YASHAR rode the SKYSLIDE, defendants failed to warn riders that the risk of serious injury was far greater than that inherent in going down a slide.

The conduct of defendants was an extreme departure from what a reasonably careful operator of such an attraction would do in the same situation to prevent harm to their guests who purchased tickets to go down the SKYSLIDE. Defendants' conduct was especially egregious as they had knowledge that riders were suffering serious injury by reason of the dangerous and defective design, and negligent operation of the SKYSLIDE, and they concealed this enhanced risk from their guests.

At said time and place, defendants were grossly negligent in the operation of the SKYSLIDE when plaintiff Gayle Yashar went down the slide and suffered an ankle fracture and other injuries.

THIS PROPERTY refers to SKYSPACE, and in particular the SKYSLIDE.

At said time and place the defendants proximately caused injuries and damages to said plaintiff by negligently, wantonly, recklessly, tortiously and unlawfully:

- 1. Entrusting, permitting, managing, maintaining, servicing, repairing, inspecting, testing, controlling and operating THIS PROPERTY; and
- 2. Designing, constructing and owning THIS PROPERTY; and
- 3. Instructing others regarding THIS PROPERTY and its use, maintenance, care and operation; and
- 4. Failing to warn, instruct, advise, protect and guard users regarding THIS PROPERTY; and
- 5. Conducting themselves with reference to this property and to plaintiff so as to cause THIS PROPERTY to be in a dangerous, defective, hazardous and unsafe condition and a concealed trap and to proximately cause injury and damages to plaintiff, including an ankle fracture.

As a proximate result thereof this plaintiff sustained permanent bodily injuries, and has had, and in the future will have, <u>pain</u>, suffering, worry and anxiety, all to plaintiff's general damages in an amount within the jurisdiction of the Court, and according to proof.

As a proximate result thereof this plaintiff incurred, and in the future will incur, <u>medical</u> and related expenses all to plaintiff's damage in such amount as will be proven at trial.

As a proximate result thereof this plaintiff has lost, and in the future will lose, the ability to do plaintiff's usual work and has, and will have, lost <u>earning</u> capacity all to plaintiff's damage in such amount as will be proven at trial.

1.6

As a proximate result thereof plaintiff has lost the use of and <u>interest</u> on the money owed to plaintiff as permitted by law:

- A. On the general damages.
- B. On the medical expenses incurred to judgment.
- C. On the loss of earnings to judgment.

1	PLAINTIFF, MORTY YASHAR, ALLEGES SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL
2	DEFENDANTS FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM:
3	25
4	Plaintiff Morty Yashar refers to and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24 as if fully set
5	forth herein.
6	26
7	At all times herein mentioned plaintiff Morty Yashar and plaintiff Gayle Yashar were and are
8	husband and wife.
9	27
10	As a proximate result of this incident, plaintiff Gayle Yashar has been unable to perform said work
11	services and duties as a spouse as before, and will be unable to perform the same in the future. By
12	reason thereof, this plaintiff, Morty Yashar, has been deprived and in the future will be deprived of
13	the work, services, duties, companionship and consortium of said spouse all to this plaintiff's further
14	damage in such amount as will be proven at the time trial.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	